









# THE HICKMAN COURIER.

George Warren.

HICKMAN, KY.

FRIDAY, JULY 27, 1900.

GEN. WHEELER'S request for a commission to fight the Chinese warrants a suspicion that he is making a collection of "Countries I Have Fought in."

We don't keep a sharp lookout for the thing you know the Paper Trust will be bidding for the Declaration of Independence as so much waste paper.

When we see by the dawn's early light on November 7 most promptly we halted at the twilight's last gleaming, let's hope it will be the Star Spangled Banner of a free Republic.

UNCERTAINTY AS TO THE real situation in China has caused New York wholesalers to buy all tea for the next month. As a result, the wholesale price of tea has risen four cents on the pound.

REPORTS from various points in Illinois indicate great dissatisfaction of the Republicans are deserting the party for Bryan. This is said to be especially true in reference to the Germans.

MR. BRYAN in an interview on the Chinese situation says it is the duty of the Government to protect American citizens there. He says Congress will have the power to deal with the matter if it deems so best required.

WILLIAM JENNINGS BRYAN is an older in the Methodist Episcopal Church, his father was a Baptist deacon; President William McKinley was a steward in the Methodist Episcopal Church; Mr. Stevenson is a Presbyterian, and Mr. Roosevelt an Episcopalian.

MR. BRYAN has given out an interview on the Chinese situation, in which he states that the best guarantee of safety to the Government can give Americans in China to convince the Imperial Government that the United States is not a party to the land grabbing scheme.

As the missionary work in China of the Southern Baptist, Methodist, Presbyterian, Episcopal and the Lutheran churches is largely in the Yangtze River valley, the news that the rebellion is about to burst into flame there will be of interest to churchmen who follow closely the mission work of their denominations.

THE Chinese are surprising the world by the skill and courage which they are demonstrating as soldiers. They appear to be no longer an army of tramps, but by their marksmanship and the fury of their onsets are giving evidence as of trained warriors. It may be, after all, that it is some time yet before China is partitioned.

THE announcement comes from Chicago that Eugene V. Debs will, in all probability, retire as a Presidential candidate and will throw his influence in favor of Mr. Bryan. The reason given for this action is that Mr. Debs saw his ticket was to be made use of by Mark Hanna and the Republicans in their effort to defeat Bryan and he did not propose to be used in that way.

THE Chinese army has been organized and drilled by experienced German and Russian officers, and these officers claim that they make most proficient and promising soldiers. Indeed, it is now said that when the Chinese are called they can bring the largest army in the world into the field. If these claims are true, the Powers will not have such an easy time in their "partition and division" of that ancient Kingdom.

In the last eight presidential elections New York has gone as follows: Democrat in 1868. Republican in 1872. Democrat in 1876. Republican in 1880. Democrat in 1884. Republican in 1888. Democrat in 1892. Republican in 1896. It has been an alternation of Democrat and Republican for thirty years. It is the turn of the Democrats to carry this State this year.

EX-PRESIDENT HARRISON is not inclined to take a pessimistic view of the Republican outlook in Indiana. In an interview at Livingson, Minn., recently he gave it as his opinion that the Republican party would carry the election this fall, but admitted that Bryan would receive a heavier vote in the east this year than he did in 1888. He thought the chances of the great Democratic leader in Indiana much better than they ever had been, and said it would surprise him should the State go Democratic this fall. The ex-President denies an interview.

## LOCAL NEWS.

The base ball fever has about died out. Navigation on the upper Ohio has about suspended.

Don't forget the big barbecue at Woodland Mills next Wednesday August 1st.

It is published that the Illinois Central Railroad is to build a \$400,000 depot at Paducah, Ky.

The Illinois Central Railroad is considering the question of purchasing the old employees.

President McKinley has agreed to act as Mediator between China and the European Powers.

Yes, certainly, we have Wall the line of samples for special orders. Wilson's Book Store.

The State Board of Equalization has the report with Auditor Coulter showing the total assessed value of property in the State to be \$67,967,841.

The Courier office is being moved in to the Currier building on Clinton street where, hereafter, no shall be pleased to welcome our friends and the public generally.

Yellow fever is known to exist among 2,800 soldiers of the Cavalry and the 1st Infantry, near Del Rio, Texas. These regiments were to be brought home soon but will now be held.

J. E. Clinton, of Columbus Ky., was desperately assaulted by G. H. Gier, a well known business man, at Jackson, Tenn., on last Tuesday, and severely wounded. It was an old feud and what a woman.

Plague floating about in the South will reach Hickman in a few weeks. Mr. Price is said to have the strongest and best company of cavalrymen that can be procured and will doubtless give a splendid show.

Father: That man should be an example to you men. He collected the store as often lay and worked himself up until in a few years he owned the business. Now, he could never find in these days, pay where they have cash registers.—Ed.

A number of ministerial funeral services from Colorado Springs. A clergyman of that city announced through the papers that he would preach on "Hell and Who Will be there," and closed his notice by saying: A warm welcome extended to all.

A corps of Government engineers reached Hickman, Wednesday morning and commenced the construction of the levee route from Hickman to the high lands of Madrid, Tenn. They are now ready. In the statement of the work, the road riders cut the true symbol of freedom to day.

There have been nine deaths and eleven cases of yellow fever the present month in the barracks of the Seventh United States Cavalry and the First Infantry at Pinar del Rio, Cuba. The camp has been moved and quarantine is strictly enforced.

Who refuse to accept public place officers with duties and Federal salaries and no pay, or insignificant pay, do not just try to kick much against the scale and things or failures to do, of such public functionaries. If you must kick, kick your own.

Dickey Smith, a colored dryman, was seriously hurt Tuesday evening by a runaway team, on Moscow avenue. He was thrown under the wheels of the car, his body. He was carried home, and it was thought he had sustained serious injuries.

The new wheat elevator at the Hickman Roller Mills has been found insufficient for the quantity of wheat needed to be stored, and therefore the proprietors have decided to receive further consignments. The necessity of a large elevator is demonstrated.

The Circuit Court, Clerks of the 1st Congressional District are to hold a meeting on Friday, July 28th and August 1st. The subject to be discussed will be the situation in the Circuit Clerk's office after the adjournment for the term. Presumably they want their fees better regulated.

At the levee meeting at Cronin to build a levee from Cronin toward Hickman on the Missouri side, carried by 204 for the levee to 42 against it. Also the proposition to issue \$100,000 in bonds, 20 years, 5 percent. The levee line is now complete for the protection of the whole of Mississippi county when built.

At Antwerp, in Lyon County, Letha Wiseman, a young machinist, whose home is at Gallatin, Tenn., was stung on the lip by some vicious insect and as a result he has in a very critical condition. His lip is swollen to an unusual size, and it is feared that blood poison may set in. He suffers terribly.

A man's home paper is worth more than that of other, because it gives him more facts and news that he is personally interested in, besides always working for the best interest of the home community. When you subscribe for your home paper, you increase the editor's ability to use for the development of your own community.

## WHAT OF A STATESMAN OR OF A RUTHLESS RIDER?

Which is the Emblem of Americanism To Day.—James Creelman Comments Candidates for the Second Place.

If the theory of the Democratic party that the American people have been deceived by the Republican party is right, the election of Mr. Stevenson for Vice President was a wise act. If that theory is wrong and the American people have really become convinced with war for freedom and the Democratic party will be defeated in 1904.

Mr. Stevenson stands for peace. Mr. Roosevelt stands for war. One is a mature conservative statesman. The other is a young, rough rider who served as a soldier for three months and appears to intend to use his soldier's apt and popular advertisement for the rest of his life.

Both parties stand with great deliberation in the selection of their candidates for Vice President. The nomination for President was beyond the control of the two conventions, they were settled in advance—our candidate being chosen by the trusts and syndicates and the other by the plain choice of the masses of the party. It is the choice of candidates for Vice President that we are looking for the present contentment of the average rank and file of both parties.

The two candidates, Stevenson and Roosevelt, present a striking contrast. In presenting Mr. Roosevelt to the country as a candidate for Vice President against his protest—the Republican party showed the courage of the lion to let the policy of imperialism, imperial adventures and of military conquest be a popular policy. It is a bold and audacious move. No man who has studied the political conditions of the United States can deny that. The idea of placing a rough rider at the head of the Senate, the most august legislative body in the world—implies the abandonment of a peaceful, constitutional policy and the beginning of an era of military conquest.

It is certain that one party or the other is making a serious mistake in the selection of the present champion of peace upon this continent. Which is it? Is the sign of the times really? Is the statement of the work, the road riders cut the true symbol of freedom to day.

From the purely strategic point of view, getting all question of principle out of the way, the Republican party is in a position to win. In favor of the gathering policy adopted by President McKinley and Mr. Tamm, the policy of military force and military adventure. That policy is now embodied in Mr. Roosevelt's nomination but one I own the inevitable Governor of New York was in the First Cavalry before the battle of San Juan. After giving a drink of water to a soldier who had been killed by his horse, he carefully asked about the impression made upon the people of the United States by the conduct of his campaign in the skirmish at Las Guasimas. He was so proud for glory as I was for his country. He has no doubt of his own merit as an indication of his character. He said that he had resigned his position as Assistant Secretary of the Navy because there was a great chance for glory in the land. He said that he had already become a hero and a political movement. Later on he got the full benefit of the impression made upon the people of the United States by the conduct of his campaign in the skirmish at Las Guasimas. He was so proud for glory as I was for his country. He has no doubt of his own merit as an indication of his character. He said that he had resigned his position as Assistant Secretary of the Navy because there was a great chance for glory in the land. He said that he had already become a hero and a political movement. Later on he got the full benefit of the impression made upon the people of the United States by the conduct of his campaign in the skirmish at Las Guasimas.

There is no spark of morality in the man. He is a man of blood and sin. He is a man who has no conscience. He is a man who has no honor. He is a man who has no respect for the law. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the people. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense of duty. He is a man who has no feeling for his country. He is a man who has no love for his fellow men. He is a man who has no respect for the rights of the weak. He is a man who has no regard for the rights of the poor. He is a man who has no sense





## NOTES FROM WASHINGTON

### Items of Intelligence Relating to Affairs at the National Capital.

#### EFFECT OF THE PRESIDENTIAL POLICY.

**Attitude of the Administration on the Chinese Affair.—The National Republic**

**Declaration of Intent.**

**Special Correspondence.**

The practical certainty that the foreign inhabitants of Peking have been murdered, turns the eyes of the country on Washington, to see what course the administration will take. Naturally the indignation over the outrage causes various expressions of strong feeling, but it is generally recognized that the administration has in a peculiar position. Almost any step that it takes will expose it to criticism from the vast number of people who have been misled by the policy of imperialism.

It is unofficially given out in administration circles that the troops will be rushed to China and that severe punishment will be meted out to the nation which has been guilty of allowing the murder of our minister and many American citizens.

Still, it is explicitly pointed out that no war will be declared against China, no matter how many troops it takes to carry out the intentions of the administration, and that Chinamen will not be deported from this country while hostilities are going on.

This sounds very much like the administration's way of doing things. It is now carrying on an unauthorized war in the Philippines under similar terms. It is done in the Philippines what it is doing in 1906 in China.

It is said just now that the administration has no intention whatever of helping in the partition of China, but will only act with other powers to punish that nation for the attack upon our citizens. But this assurance will not go very far with those who have watched McKinley's course in the last two years. The administration has a very optimistic and facile way of minimizing its imperialistic performances.

#### On the Administration.

Ex-Congressman William Hamilton Lewis puts the whole Chinese trouble squarely upon the administration. He says:

I charge the Chinese outbreak directly upon the republican administration. It is the direct result of the announcement made by this government that the United States intended to enter into the division of China. Since these assertions China has been invaded. Its assaults upon us are because of that feeling of our hostility to them.

During the last 15 years wherever missionaries have been killed it has developed that they had violated some sacred right of the Chinese faith. Other nations besides the Chinese visit the offender with death for such desecrations.

It is apparent for the first time in the present generation that the Chinese government has given sanction to an assault upon Americans and foreigners generally.

This is because our missionaries and ambassadors were regarded as the advance guard of a force determined to appropriate the Chinese empire.

Mr. Lewis goes on to say that, so long as we kept aloof from alliances with the continental powers the Chinese government treated our people with consideration and gave us trade privileges.

It was only when this administration was beguiled by European agents and that she would be helped in her policy of despoiling China that the trouble began.

Among others Mr. Lewis quotes Ex-Minister Denby, while a member of the Philippine commission, speaking for the administration, as saying that the time had come "when we should join other powers in appropriating a respectable sphere of China to ourselves."

Senator Lodge recently said that our government should "bear its responsibilities in China and participate with the nations of the world in the division of that empire from the base of the Philippines."

#### Fruits of Imperialism.

Mr. Lewis remarks that we are now reaping the fruits of the harvest sown by this administration while under the influence of its dream of imperialism. He says:

"We must take one of two courses: Humiliatingly step out of China with dead Americans unavenged, or proceed to revenge the injury by marching our armies into a country which can band together a standing army equal to every man, woman and child we have in the United States and still not begin to exhaust their surplus."

It is clear that if we are to be made in China we are going to have to be unable to reach a victory, while losing the trade because we have made enemies of the people.

The question is, are our people still willing to take the fearful result which the policy of the administration, as proposed in the Philadelphia convention and admitted by the president in his speech at Canton, inevitably pre-empted upon? It is to be engaged war and bankruptcy or repulse of peace and prosperity? It seems to be up to the administration.

As if to emphasize imperialism as the most important issue, the news comes from the Philippines that Gen. MacArthur not only cannot spare any troops from the Philippines, but that

he actually needs 100,000 men to enable the insurrection. The Filipinos are better armed and in better shape than they were a year ago.

The net result of the Chinese trouble and our need of the loan of life among American citizens in Peking, is the demand for the 8th regiment, which the allied forces at Tientsin, and the dispatching of that regiment to the Philippines. It has been known for months that the administration would be glad to wash its hands of the Philippines, but the situation has been changed.

Of course it is rather absurd the plan not to be able to spare any troops from the Philippines.

#### The Pan-American Issue.

Circumstances combine to emphasize the democratic declaration that imperialism is the paramount issue. Hanna, Bliss and Heath are busily engaged in mapping out the republican campaign for the coming year, and they have concluded that they could force the issue to make the fight on the issue of imperialism and the trusts. It cannot be done. The more honest section of the country is arguing that the financial position was put into the background by the action of the recent republican congress, and that the administration forces are making an absurd spectacle of themselves by trying to put up again of them.

As Chairman Richardson, of the democratic congressional committee, remarked the other day, "The republicans are going to be afraid to make the campaign on the record of this administration." They feel that the loss the republicans have suffered in the election will be the republican clause for success.

The democrats are putting up a most energetic congressional campaign from the Washington headquarters. There is every prospect that the next house will have a democratic majority. There were many things in the record of the recent republican congress that aroused the sentiment of good citizenship.

The attempt to put congress above the constitution in the Porto Rican matter was perhaps the gravest affront. In many close districts the republicans have practically given up the fight and concede the places to the democrats.

#### ADOLPH PATTERSON.

#### DISTRUST OF MCKINLEY.

Real Minds in the Republican Party see the Weakness of the Administration.

If the average observer were asked to name the three ablest and most respected republicans now living, whom he would name? He would name Mark Hanna and Theodore Roosevelt. Not if he were honest and thoughtful.

Not if he were honest and thoughtful. Not if he were honest and thoughtful. Not if he were honest and thoughtful.

Not if he were honest and thoughtful. Not if he were honest and thoughtful. Not if he were honest and thoughtful.

Not if he were honest and thoughtful. Not if he were honest and thoughtful. Not if he were honest and thoughtful.

Not if he were honest and thoughtful. Not if he were honest and thoughtful. Not if he were honest and thoughtful.

Not if he were honest and thoughtful. Not if he were honest and thoughtful. Not if he were honest and thoughtful.

Not if he were honest and thoughtful. Not if he were honest and thoughtful. Not if he were honest and thoughtful.

Not if he were honest and thoughtful. Not if he were honest and thoughtful. Not if he were honest and thoughtful.

Not if he were honest and thoughtful. Not if he were honest and thoughtful. Not if he were honest and thoughtful.

Not if he were honest and thoughtful. Not if he were honest and thoughtful. Not if he were honest and thoughtful.

Not if he were honest and thoughtful. Not if he were honest and thoughtful. Not if he were honest and thoughtful.

Not if he were honest and thoughtful. Not if he were honest and thoughtful. Not if he were honest and thoughtful.

Not if he were honest and thoughtful. Not if he were honest and thoughtful. Not if he were honest and thoughtful.

Not if he were honest and thoughtful. Not if he were honest and thoughtful. Not if he were honest and thoughtful.

Not if he were honest and thoughtful. Not if he were honest and thoughtful. Not if he were honest and thoughtful.

Not if he were honest and thoughtful. Not if he were honest and thoughtful. Not if he were honest and thoughtful.

Not if he were honest and thoughtful. Not if he were honest and thoughtful. Not if he were honest and thoughtful.

Not if he were honest and thoughtful. Not if he were honest and thoughtful. Not if he were honest and thoughtful.

Not if he were honest and thoughtful. Not if he were honest and thoughtful. Not if he were honest and thoughtful.

Not if he were honest and thoughtful. Not if he were honest and thoughtful. Not if he were honest and thoughtful.

Not if he were honest and thoughtful. Not if he were honest and thoughtful. Not if he were honest and thoughtful.

Not if he were honest and thoughtful. Not if he were honest and thoughtful. Not if he were honest and thoughtful.

#### RENOMINATION OF BRYAN.

What It Means and Why He Has Been Renominated.

The renomination of William J. Bryan is a notable honor to a remarkable man. This will be the judgment of every intelligent American who examines Mr. Bryan's career in the light of history, and who emancipates himself from all prejudice for or against the individual and the great party which will in succession have made him its leader.

Mr. Bryan entered his party's national convention in 1896 as a member of a contesting delegation. As tested by the standards of his organization which prevailed up to that time, he was marked with the stamp of partisan lethargy. The idea for which he stood—the unlimited coinage of silver at a ratio which would have the intrinsic value of a silver dollar half that of the gold dollar, which, consequently, would drive gold out of circulation and precipitate the country into a disastrous financial crisis—would, if sanctioned by the convention, make a break with the traditions of a hundred years of democratic history.

Moreover, presented to the same convention were the names of other men, who were judged by the masses of that day greater soldiers of the silver cause. Richard B. Hare, the pioneer of the silver college movement in congress, was urged by a large element of his party for the presidential nomination. Another fighter favored Hare was, also a silver champion, who had carried the republican cause to the verge of defeat for governor, and who had made an efficient and popular official. Senator Joseph R. Buchanan, of Kentucky, a brilliant fighter on the silver side in both houses of congress, had some powerful friends in the convention, and he received many votes for the nomination. Yet this contesting delegate, who was elected to a seat by the favor of the convention, but who was new to a majority of the delegates at the time, defeated, through the magic of a speech, the long-cherished feelings of his hearers into burning phrase, men who had been leaders of his cause long before he had been heard of outside the ward in which he lived, and carried off the convention's prize.

Other men than Mr. Bryan, whose names were not previously coupled with the candidacy, were nominated for president. But the man who carried the military glory, nor was his name, at the time the convention of 1906 met, connected consciously with any great reform or cause, nor had he made no record during his short service in congress which could single him out from the roll of ordinary members on the silver side. What, then, was the secret of Mr. Bryan's power over his party? It was his speech, his striking expression, and his sense of the force of his oratory. His party declared for the "free and unlimited coinage of both silver and gold at the ratio of 16 to 1, without waiting for the aid of congressional action." This meant that compromise and equivocation in that party on the subject was ended.

Mr. Bryan's proclivities coincided with his party's new mood. His physical traits, moreover, lent power to his moral attributes.

What are the causes of Mr. Bryan's hold on the affections of his party? In 1906, aside from his brilliant oratory, his candidly in 1907. His moral sincerity, which even his partisan enemies have never questioned, his strength as a campaigner, and the fact that, in the most exciting campaign since 1860, and with hundreds of thousands of democratic voters, Palmer or McKinley, he pulled nearly 1,000,000 more votes than Cleveland in his bid for the presidency four years earlier, and he believed his party, rank and file, that he is, on the whole, the best exponent of his party's position on the issues of finance, trusts and anti-imperialism.

Upon what does Mr. Bryan's party base its hope of carrying the country in 1907? Upon analogy, upon chance, and upon a belief that its cause is in heretofore sympathy with the people.

In every election for president in which the same candidates confronted each other twice in succession the nominee who was beaten in the first instance won in the second. Jefferson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John Adams in 1792, was elected in 1800. Jackson, who was left behind in John Quincy Adams in the contest which sent to the house of representatives in 1824, was far ahead in the electoral college in 1828. William Henry Harrison, who was easily beaten by Van Buren in 1836, was elected in 1840. Andrew Jackson, who was defeated by John





